

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/586,748		HANGAI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DEAN O. TAKAOKA		2817	

All Participants:

(1) DEAN O. TAKAOKA.

(2) ALI M. IMAM.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 102

Claims discussed:

1,4,9,11,12

Prior art documents discussed:

Hieda

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's amendment dated August 27, 2009 overcame the prior art of record however it was the position of the Examiner that amendments to claim 9 did not appear to overcome newly cited prior art of Hieda. In particular, Hieda (Fig. 5) shows parallel connected FET switches each comprising an inductor and connected to each other thru intermediate switch 2a. Fig. 11 shows a SPDT switch. It was the position of the Examiner that dependent claim 10 would allowable comprising similar limitations of the parallel inductor connected to the series circuit of FET and capacitor also included in claim 4. It was agreed to combine claims 9 and 10 by Examiners amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance .